THE PLAN

The subject of race has been disparaged since America scrapped its National Origins Policy in immigration, 1965, resulting in America today becoming a polyglot collection of many races, but the fact remains that race, along with culture, is a defining ingredient of any nation. This meaning of the nation concept has been lost for Americans, and we of the ORION Party wish to retrieve it for our peoples. This means partitioning the present confines of the United States into racial zones, determined by referenda in all 50 states to decide what states would fall into the particular zones. Once people have decided where they belong, those zones will have borders established and nations declared. This is intended to separate all races, including White, Black, Aboriginal, Hispanic and any other wanting to preserve its identity. ORION is a party to preserve diversity and welcomes all who want the same.

America today is a disunited country, a lot of that disunity stemming from multi-racialism, which in turn is a result of the money power that has no respect for national identity. Cheap labor brought by multiculturalism is profitable, and the globalist money power is no friend of racial-cultural nationalism. The one solution suitable to all races is partition of the United States, including Canada possibly beginning with the separation of Quebec. If India can do it in 1947, so can America in coming years.

The scenario envisioned by ORION to accomplish racial partition is as follows: the party will campaign to
win the American Presidency and Congress. Although mainly a White nationalist objective, allied with disparate racial-national groups working in the interest of their racial nationalisms, we know this is achievable. Upon winning the Presidency and Congress the party will immediately dismantle the Federal Reserve. Functions of the Fed will be assumed by a publicly owned federal bank. Outstanding Treasury bonds will be paid, thereafter none issued and no interest bearing federal debt will any longer be incurred. The fractional reserve system will remain and no further reform need be considered. We do not anticipate any need to nationalize the banking system except the central national bank. Reinstitution of the gold standard is a possibility. Partition of the country will not commence until banking reform is fully accomplished. The same banking reform would wisely continue in each of the separate nations after partition.

During the time of banking reform, referenda will be held in all 50 states to determine the number of people subscribing to the various racial divisions, i.e., White, Black, mixed, etc., and the divisions will be determined by present demographics and the number of people subscribing to each racial zone. When the confines of partition are decided a period of adjustment will be declared for people to move to their desired zones. In the case of non-zone residents there will be the requirement to move out, but these need only be people of breeding age, not the elderly or sterile. Military force will be used if needed, with legal mandate, to ensure that this law is respected and also that it is complied with peacefully. Every compensation will be made by the still extant

how species diversity can be eliminated - by disallowing racial diversity when two initial racial populations can still interbreed.

As a governing principle, if the end of species diversity is easily seen to be evil, and species diversity begins with racial diversity, how can the end of racial diversity be good? Liberals will probably advance the notion that what applies to insects, plants and animals does not apply to humans. That cannot be true. All life on Earth is born from the same Mother Earth. It is when we think we are above Nature that we have problems. Animals are protected from diversity elimination in their racial stage because they can more readily sniff racial pheromone differences. Their olfactory sense is better than our human noses and they are consciously aware of Nature’s breeding prohibitions. Humans may also be aware of those prohibitions at the subconscious level, and that is the unrecognized reason for the many racial problems in multicultural countries like America. We are left with the astounding conclusion that the problems of racism are not caused by racism itself, but by the imperial imposition of multicultural society on natural, historically formed racial-cultural (ethnic) nations.
media inspired multicultural thought is that the mixture of races and cultures seen in the streets of our Western cities gives diversity. This is ridiculous. It is actually the way we destroy diversity, because, as history shows, when races are socially amalgamated they blend. The result is no race - and no diversity.

The end of human diversity would not destroy our world, but the principle from Nature indicates the moral implications. And that has consequences. As Robert Putnam, Professor of Public Policy at Harvard University, has shown in a study of 30,000 people in the United States, public trust is diminished by racial “diversity”. Trust is an integral part of social interaction. Civilization is affected without it, possibly resulting in the decline of great civilizations in the past. Cosmopolitanism was, in fact, an accompanying development, if not a contributing cause, in the decline of the Roman Empire, and similarly in the case of ancient Egypt.

The moral implications go further. We acknowledge that the end of species diversity would be an evil, which could not happen by genetic mixture because different species cannot interbreed. That fact defines a species. But species differentiation begins with racial differentiation. Two separate populations of a species, if separated for tens of thousands of years, will experience different genetic mutations to become racially distinguishable from each other, and if the separation continues there will be sufficient differences accumulated to not allow interbreeding between the two races. They will then have become separate species. If there is racial interbreeding, species formation will be impeded. This is federal government to people for their losses, if incurred, and costs of migration. When migrations are complete the racial zones will be declared nations and borders will be established.

We anticipate by this scenario that the resulting White nation will occupy the West and mid-West, the Black nation will occupy states in the South, the Hispanic the South-West, and the Atlantic states will remain liberal multicultural. Anticipated French-Canadian separation of Quebec would leave the western provinces of Canada to join the American White nation, if desired. It would also encourage the native Indian partition of northern Canada and western states. Separate tribes should consider amalgamation. All partitions would be established democratically by the choices people make.

The first step is up to you, the voter. If you are White and want a White nation, or Black and want a Black nation, or . . etc., we are your party. If you are worried about inflation, increasing disparity, declining middle class, incessant wars, etc., we are your party. Re-establishment of the racial-cultural concept of nationhood is particularly important for the White voter, since America as presently configured will no longer be a White country after mid century. From constituting 85% in 1960 the White population in 2060 will be 43%. The Black and Hispanic populations will be 45%. White decline is due to a non-replacement birth rate, mixed race relationships and non-White immigration.

ORION is not White supremacist. The conflation of White supremacy with White nationalism is a tactic of the media to associate White nationalism with violence,
intolerance and ‘hate’. White nationalism is none of this. It is true that all White supremacists are also White nationalists, but that does not mean that all White nationalists are White supremacists. All (or most) Texans are Americans but not all Americans are Texans. It is that simple. Just as other separatist movements, of the Basques, Scots, French Canadians, Chechens, Kurds, etc. want nations of their own with no claims of racial superiority, the same is generally true for the White people of America, including those wanting separation.

The end of the United States will undoubtedly be a tragedy, but more important than any political configuration is natural heritage. That is what we are attempting to preserve. We ask for your assistance by voting ORION in any coming federal election.

The following three essays give the philosophy of the ORION movement.

THE IMMORALITY OF MULTICULTURALISM

Every day Americans have the liberal opposition to White racial-cultural dominance drummed into them, whether it is with the Black Lives Matter mantra, police shootings, Cancel Culture, Critical Race Theory, movies and all manner of atrocity propaganda in spite of the fact that Western societies were the first in all history to outlaw slavery. The campaign is by no means limited to the United States, nor to cancelling only culture. In Canada it is extended to every third or fourth product commercial now showing a mixed race couple, even in bedroom scenes. The effect on the average White mind can only be to familiarize the White population with White replacement, and to normalize the moral desirability of that occurrence because of objectionable White racism.

So it seems only fair to examine “multiculturalism” for its morality. The reasoning is simple. Let us first imagine this world without its diversity of species. Species diversity is very important for an ecosystem because it gives stability and the ability to withstand environmental stress. The destruction of the world’s ecosystems, now occurring, is of concern, and if all life’s ecosystems were totally destroyed that would be seen as the greatest of evils. It would mean the destruction of our world. So as a general principle, the destruction of life’s diversity is seen evil, and this is fully recognized.

The world also has a diversity of human races and cultures. We would think that the destruction of this diversity would equally be seen evil, but the illogic of
War by issuing debt-free money known as Greenbacks. Speculation has been that this was the reason for his assassination, and of John F. Kennedy who also attempted to issue debt-free money.

In summary, there is no need to have awesome federal debt in private hands with its interest burden, because national treasuries are where money comes from in the first place. *Federal governments pay interest on a country's own money!* The enormous interest generated by this borrowing then exacerbates inflation because interest on new money does not represent new production. In a reformed system, to finance industrial projects money would continue to be loaned by a central bank at interest to private banks, which in turn would loan it to the public at higher interest, but federal government projects would be financed directly from national treasuries. There would therefore be no federal interest payments to private financiers for this reason.

None of this means that national financing for public expenditures requires a nationalized banking system; it simply means that federal procurement of public goods and services does not require private financiers, resulting in the exorbitant flow of national wealth, via interest payments, to powerful elites. Under an ORION government the fractional reserve system would be continued to avoid the disruptive consequences of a full reserve system. But Federal government borrowing would be eliminated to avoid federal dept and its unnecessary interest burden. Federal spending would be Treasury financed directly through a nationalized central bank, not a private banking consortium as is the Federal Reserve.

---

**NATIONHOOD***

History is not the study of general humanity but of nations. No matter what we study about the past it is always couched in some form of nation, but the forms of ‘nation’ have changed dramatically through the centuries. In ancient Greece ‘nation’ meant the *polis*, or just a city with its surrounding countryside. The French king, Louis XIV, could say: “L’etat, c’est moi” (The state, it is me), for in his time the nation was centred on the king. The Levantine Civilization of the Near East defined ‘nation’ by religion, as Jews still define themselves today, resulting after two thousand years of the Diaspora in the state of Israel. The Western world has given a spatial meaning to the concept of ‘nation’. With all these forms we might ask just what ‘nation’ means.

The Germans were the first to give ‘nation’ a racial meaning, and surely race must be part of the definition because we can distinguish nationalities by looking at the people, but then we must acknowledge that most, if not all, nations are ethnic composites. The Japanese certainly consider themselves a nation but are composed of Chinese and Ainu (the original Caucasian-looking, bearded race of Japan). Germans themselves are a Nordic-Alpine mix, British are Nordic-Iberian. So if we think of nations only as racial we get a sense that something is missing in our definition.

*This essay is taken from "Nationhood - Race, Nation and the State,” Heritage and Destiny magazine, January - February 2021, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 6HE, England, U. K.*
That obvious something is culture: nations are racial-cultural divisions of humanity, brought forth by Nature and are not creations of the mind, as is the state. The form of nation can change through the centuries and between civilizations but this definition remains true. Athenians were hardly distinguishable from Spartans racially but they certainly were culturally, hence they formed different nations. Culture even affects ethnicity, for when people share the same language, religion, customs, traditions, etc., they blend, to form a distinguishable national type. If a totally different race blends with an original nation so formed, that original nation is destroyed, for when people with different talents and temperament mix, their culture must invariably change.

With this understanding what can we make of the United States and Canada, defined today as “multicultural nations”? Obviously here is a contradiction of terms. The view in these countries is that a nation is an economic-political region demarcated by a line on a map like the forty-ninth parallel. Ask an American or English Canadian what the difference is between nation, state and country and he/she would not be able to tell you, although these are as different as culture, government and territory. Such a superficial view of nationhood suits the economic power structure of the corporate elite because modern corporations are nationless, and just as they move capital to diverse international locations with loyalty only to their profit margins, the encouragement of people to move across borders is similarly determined by the same profit motivation. With an abundant supply of labor, wages can be held low regardless of where that labor comes from.
not represent new production. It is inflationary.

Worse yet, the real scam of the private banking system is on public debt, because federal governments have no comparison with private individuals and businesses due to their legal right to levy taxes. Governments borrow funds by selling bonds in “Open Market Operations”. The major players who buy those bonds are insurance companies, Big Business and banks, to whom by far the major part of the interest is paid, and paid to people who are already owners of wealth. That payment is a transfer of wealth from taxpayers to wealth holders and is the major reason for the growing disparity in our Western societies. It is parasitical but all in line with our private banking system.

In the case of government debt the interest is particularly parasitical because it is completely unnecessary. Federal governments do not need to borrow and pay interest at all! Instead, public works can be financed directly from their federal treasuries. Instead of first borrowing money, like businesses and households must do, highways, bridges, etc. can be financed directly with money immediately spent from federal treasuries without interest payments. The big bug-a-boo raised in doing so is always that it would be inflationary. Yes, it would be – but remember: governments, unlike individuals and businesses, have the power of taxation. New money pumped into an economy can be withdrawn using taxes. If done in equal measure to expenditure there need be no excess money created or scarcity of it (causing depression). The big advantage is that there would be no interest payment to private investors by federal gover-

Multiculturalism is profitable.

The identification of culture with nationhood is generally recognized but the racial component of the above definition is more contentious, due to the liberal assumption that the whole concept of race is a mistake, being rather a social construct and therefore a notion that should be dismissed. This opinion is very curious because no one has any difficulty admitting that species exist, and racial differentiation is the beginning evolutionary stage of species differentiation. The great variety of species we see in the world today must have gone through the racial process of evolutionary differentiation. We actually see the process happening in present day Orcas (killer whales), which divide themselves by diet and exhibit racial distinctions from the long held cultural practice of hunting preferred foods, although they are all the same species that can still interbreed. So how can species exist if races do not? We should not be too surprised at this liberal illogic since the same extends to the liberal concept of multicultural “diversity”. The racial diversity evident in our streets today is temporary, because in time when different races live street-to-street and door-to-door history shows they blend, destroying diversity. Government efforts at integration, therefore, are strange, because if we genuinely want diversity the last and least policy we should want is liberal multiculturalism.

So what of the human species? Can we make the same racial case for it? Obviously we can, and not only from the evidence of our eyes. Caucasians have been separated from Africans about 60,000 years and
from Asians 40,000 years, which is enough time for people disciplined in the ‘hard’ science of Anthropology to examine the differences between human races that have accumulated in that time. These differences are well known and documented in books such as *Race*, by John R. Baker, published by the Oxford University Press, and *The Origin of Races*, written by Carleton S. Coon, professor of Anthropology at the University of Pennsylvania. Amazingly, we should now discard these scholarly volumes, written from years of study using hard evidence, in preference for the views of Sociologists who give us the “race-is-a-social-construct” theory.

To understand race we must first understand that the concept refers to the differentiation of populations, to numbers, not individuals. Neither the bigot nor liberal recognizes the statistical nature of race. If a particular race has not been renowned for achievement, the bigot concludes that its members are “inferior,” even an individual possessing a high achievement potential. Conversely, the liberal generalizes from the examples of a few outstanding individuals, but by presenting a member of high achievement proves nothing concerning the collective, which is the issue. No individual wholly defines any group. We could make an observation on the differences in height between men and women, statistically men being taller than women. This is generally true although it is also true that many women are taller than many men. An observation on the group has nothing to do with an observation on the individual, and vice versa. We must approach the subject of race with this understanding.

That does not represent new production, it is inflationary. We often hear that when times are good inflation is inevitable. Here is one reason, but that reason will not be found in any standard economic text. Of course, there are other reasons for inflation, including increased money in people’s pockets during good times, and loss of production from weather, but these are episodic and remedied.

Obviously the wealthy do not like inflation either, more so than average citizens, for the simple reason that on their loans with inflation they receive less value on their money when the loans are paid. But when inflation gets out of hand, as it is liable to in a private banking system (because of the interest motive), there is deliberate contraction of the money supply, which causes an economic recession or even depression. Here, then, is the reason for business cycles. What *can* be supported by our banking elite is creeping inflation, and that is what we have had in all countries of the West for many decades. It is when our dollar does not buy what it could ten years ago that we have reason to gripe.

In the private capitalist system interest can be justified the same as rent. Whether rent is for an apartment, car, lawnmower, etc. or for the equivalent value in dollars, interest is like rent only on money. When a bank loan is repaid the principle is cancelled out of existence but the interest is not. It is what private banking investors live on, and that repaid money extracted from elsewhere in an economy is interest on *new* money. Rent for an apartment is money already in existence, but the rent on a bank loan, the interest, is money that does
this system a fraction, say of a $1,000 deposit, must be kept in reserve and the rest loaned, so if the fractional reserve is 10% then $100 is kept in reserve for people who might want to withdraw their deposits, and $900 loaned. But if that $900 is deposited in another bank, then 10% of that, or $90, must also be kept in reserve with $810 loaned, and so on. If taken to its extent this system (banks plus public) generates $900 + $810 + $729 + \ldots = $9,000. That $9,000 was created “out of thin air” but in line with private enterprise when there are many competing banks, none receiving interest on the totality of the new money generated. If the system is monopolized with only one bank, then on the basis of the $1,000 deposit the one bank does create, with the various exchanges between its branches and public, the extra $9,000, all from debt. Thus it is that if the system in toto were one bank that monopoly creation of money becomes a powerful force when privately owned, and that is what the Federal Reserve is: a monopoly cartel of privately owned banks.

Similarly, when a $1,000 deposit is withdrawn the system loses $9,000 by the banks retracting the money supply the same as they generate it, and since there is both gain and loss the system would seem to be in balance. But as in the case of the apple, on that created $9,000 loaned to the public, interest must be paid. If the interest rate is 5%, that is $450 more than the system generated originally and does not represent the production of goods and services. Where does that extra $450 come from? There is only one way: it has to be created by the same system, and since it is new money

Being statistical, population variation can be placed on a Bell Curve, shown above for two populations, A and B. Most characteristics of a population lie in a continuum, with people who possess a very high and very low measure of a character being low in number, and people who possess an average measure being high in number. Figure I shows difference ‘x’ between averages for any particular characteristic measured for the two populations. The shaded area represents the area where the characteristic measurement is the same in the two populations and for this reason liberals claim little or no difference between racial populations. But to be noted is difference ‘y’ measuring the difference level of talent in one population over another. When we take a higher measurement than average, at ‘k’, and compare the difference ‘y’ between the two populations, we find that
‘y’ is larger than ‘x’. The claim of insignificant difference between racial populations ignores this measurement. Even if ‘x’ is small, for a characteristic that is culturally valuable the difference in population number can be more important than we would expect from looking only at the difference in averages.

Unfortunately, population differences that occur irrespective of race have often been conflated with racial differences. The two populations, A and B, could be of the same race, but they could also be of two different races defined by, say, skin color. Whether of the same race or two different races, difference ‘x’ may well be zero. It does not occur because of skin color. Silicon Valley is very aware of this, since much of its talent is drawn from beyond American borders. The consequences for the change in the “National Origins” American immigration laws (1965) have been considerable, described in the Cosmos Theology booklet (www.euvolution.com), pages 14-15:

“...we see people in high-paying jobs in industry, academia and government who are obviously not nationals and performing well in those positions. Science and technical journals are filled with names that are difficult to pronounce in the native tongue, and a time of globalization is also a time of industrial “outsourcing,” resulting in millions of national workers facing unemployment and dependancy. Racial problems arise, the original racial population declines and replaced with immigration, “multiculturalism” becomes the mantra of politicians and policy-setters while nationals are saddled

dollar, let us suppose that a dollar *causes* an apple to be produced. That is, a farmer *borrows* a dollar, one printed for the purpose of producing an apple. Once produced the system has a dollar and an apple. The system is in balance. The loan is returned, the dollar cancelled and the apple eaten. All that happened is that the dollar was created before the apple. So what? That creation actually benefited production. Critics of our present system claim this causes inflation because bank credit is created “out of thin air”. We see in this simple system of a dollar and an apple that this is not where inflation comes from. It comes from the inability of the farmer to only return a dollar because the creditor expects interest on his dollar loaned. If the interest is 5%, the farmer must return $1.05, not just $1.00. Where does the extra $0.05 come from? The farmer could indenture himself to his creditor to pay the $0.05, he could counterfeit $0.05, or obtain it by borrowing more money. In the latter two methods we see that it is the $0.05, the interest, that is inflationary. If the farmer already had the $0.05 he would only borrow $0.95 and the system would remain approximately in balance. In practice the interest cost is covered by selling the apple at $1.05 or more. But this assumes more money in the system than the original $1.00, i.e., inflation. Profit masks the inflationary effect, and that effect accumulates, giving extensive debt.

Money is created from debt in all countries using the “fractional reserve” system, which means all countries of the West. We realize that banks loan our deposits and pay us interest on those deposits, but few realize that this is how our money is created. Under
“BANKSTER” BANKING**

A plague stalks America today, a plague caused by its banking system. The symptoms are: on-going inflation, increasing economic disparity, declining middle class, corruption in high places, exorbitant payouts, lessening democracy, crime, even wars. If allowed to continue we will eventually have a peon system - a system of elites ruling the rest of us.

To understand our current banking system we must understand the importance of the money-goods balance. If the money supply in an economy increases over the production of goods, there is inflation. That is, if an apple costs one dollar now, but the money supply is doubled, that same apple will then cost two dollars. This is monetary inflation. Notice it has nothing to do with apples. This is so bizarre that people find it hard to believe. Fortunately, if production is also doubled the one dollar price is restored. The best cure for inflation is increased production. The money supply and national production must be kept in balance for a stable economy. This simple rule is followed with difficulty in modern economies because the voting citizenry does not understand the economics of inflation, and does not realize how we the people are being fleeced by that hidden tax.

Now let us see how this could occur. Instead of first supposing an apple in existence and then the extra

---


We are left with the question of how justified is nationalism and should there be national laws and programs based purely on human considerations that ignore the national existence. We then have the question: are job requirements the only ethical requirements for nation building, sufficient to put nationalist racial considerations aside?”

Private companies searching for talent is one side of the story. Mass immigration that can change the nature of a population is quite another. What can be different between racial populations is racial history. If the history of one is more arduous than the other, that population will experience natural selection more severely than the other. If that selection acts to reduce the number or just the breeding potential of its less gifted members, that race will be left with proportionately more of its members who are more gifted. This process of natural selection is well known and proven. That is, difference ‘x’ will then exist in the desired quality between the two races.

It is very probable that selective factors can explain the differences in national GDP levels around the world. We know that human beings migrated out of Africa thousands of years ago, to inhabit Europe and Asia. It is probable that Europe and Asia have been the continents where civilization progressed the most, in contrast to sub-Saharan Africa, because of ancient selective migration. The migrants were also later subject-ed to the challenging conditions of an Ice Age, unlike
Africans. Due to their migrations northward the migrants entered regions with less sun than Africa, and to produce vitamin D their skin lost pigmentation. Two evolutionary selections occurred: one type requiring survival techniques in a new environment, and the other being a skin adaptation. One was cultural, the other purely physical. They are two completely different types of change, but have become associated in subsequent generations of the two populations. The achievement disparity between descendants of migrants that left Africa thousands of years ago and those of sub-Saharan Africans today has nothing to do with skin color, that remains true, it is due to racial history. But although the amount of skin pigmentation does not mean that one race has to be higher or lower in the measurement of human potential, as the bigot would have us believe, the liberal, conversely, must see that the difference need not be statistically zero. A race featuring physical adaptations, such as skin pigmentation, may very well undergo selective factors also forcing cognitive adaptations affecting culture that do not play with equal severity on other races.

Regardless, we might ask: are there characteristics notably identified as racial that also incline a population toward the crafts of civilization, or at least have the possibility of producing a particular national character? Temperament would be one such possibility, and a major contributor to that is the pineal gland situated deep inside the brain. The pineal gland produces melatonin, a hormone that helps us go to sleep and is suppressed by light, particularly blue light that boosts attention and mood. For that reason sleep experts tell us that watching TV or a computer screen before retiring at night is a bad idea. The main access that light has for influencing the pineal gland is the eyes, and since blue eyes are blue because of less iris pigment, more light enters blue eyes than dark eyes, therefore having more effect on the pineal gland. Whatever the effect it is probably small at the individual level, but when added over millions of people the result could be significant. Here is an example of how a racial feature can influence national character.

All considered, race and nation are intimately bound. When that connection is broken we have the loss of harmony and trust found by one study published in 2007, conducted on 30,000 people in the United States. Again, to quote Cosmos Theology, page 15:

“Robert Putnam found the results so disturbing that he delayed publishing them until six years after the time of his study in 2001. He found high “diversity” associated with low confidence in local government, local leaders and local news media, low confidence in one’s own influence, lower frequency of registering to vote, less expectation that others will cooperate to solve dilemmas of collective action, less likelihood of working on a community project, less likelihood of giving to charity or volunteering, fewer close friends and confidants, and more time watching television. Most disturbing was the finding that there is also less trust within ethnic groups. Clearly a racially based nationhood is the most stable and harmonious collectivity for human existence.”
A nation is a source of pride, distinction and belonging to its citizens, and these are presumed to be the natural right of all peoples the world over, but in North America have been preempted by liberal notions of multiculturalism. For that reason we of the ORION Party will replace the current American and Canadian states with racial nations comprised from the various racial-cultures that now inhabit the United States and Canada. These will include the White, Black, Hispanic, French Canadian, native Indian and any other that wishes to preserve its heritage. The realistic alternative in time can only be amalgamation of all with the loss of diversity, which would be anti-Nature (since the trend in Nature is always toward diversity), or inter-racial conflict beyond the imaginable.